

ER 11-9099/a

✓ *ca 12* 5 DEC 1959

Lt. General Thomas L. Harrold  
 Commandant  
 National War College  
 Washington 25, D. C.

Dear General Harrold:

Thank you for calling to our attention the possibility that an officer of this Agency may have violated the "non-attribution" policy of the National War College. I have looked into this matter and the following facts have been determined.

STAT

STAT

STAT

STAT

STAT

STAT

[redacted] of our Psychological and Paramilitary Staff is interested in the work of Drs. Rostow and Milliken and attended Professor Elliott's lecture. In the question period following the lecture, Professor Elliott mentioned the Rostow studies. To clarify the context in which the subject of these studies had been raised, [redacted] sent Mr. [redacted] of his Staff to the War College to request permission to hear the tapes of the speech. Mr. [redacted] in his capacity as liaison officer, was concerned only in making the arrangements for Mr. [redacted] contact with the War College. The knowledge that Mr. [redacted] gained through listening to the tapes would not be attributed to Professor Elliott. Rather, it would add to our store of knowledge giving us the benefit of informed thinking on the Rostow studies, and it is unfortunate that the limited nature of our interest in Professor Elliott's remarks was not made clear to your Security Officer.

You may be assured that the personnel of this Agency are aware of the great value that accrues to them because of your courtesy in extending them guest privileges and that we will scrupulously respect your non-attribution policy.

OSD HAS NO OBJECTION TO DECLASSIFICATION AND  
 RELEASE.

Sincerely,

*Allen W. Dulles* SIGNED

Allen W. Dulles  
 Director

STAT

OSD review(s)  
 completed.

4-9611

Executive Database  
11-9099/a

~~Lt. General Thomas L. Harrold  
Commandant  
National War College  
Washington 25, D.C.~~

Dear General Harrold:

Thank you for calling to my attention the possibility that an officer of this Agency may have violated the "non-attribution" policy of the National War College. I have looked into this matter and would like to share my findings with you.

The possible violation occurred when inquiries were made at the War College concerning remarks included in Professor William Y. Elliott's recent lecture about the work of Drs. Rostow and Millikan.

STAT

The opinion of a man of Professor Elliott's standing with regard to the work of these consultants would be of value to this Agency in measuring the impact of the studies.

STAT

Mr. [ ] of our Psychological and Paramilitary Staff is concerned with the work of Drs. Rostow and Millikan. He attended Professor Millikan's lecture. In the question period following the lecture, Professor Elliott mentioned the Rostow studies. To clarify the context in which the subject of these studies had been raised, Mr. [ ] sent Mr. [ ] of his Staff to the War College to request permission to hear the tapes of the speech. Mr. [ ] in his capacity as liaison officer, was interested only in making the arrangements for Mr. [ ] contact with the War College.

STAT

STAT

STAT

STAT

The knowledge that Mr. [redacted] gained through listening to the tapes would not be attributed to Professor Elliott. Rather, it would add to our store of knowledge giving us the benefit of informed thinking on the Boston studies.

It is unfortunate that the limited nature of our interest in Professor Elliott's remarks was not made clear to your Security Officer.

You may be assured that the personnel of this Agency are aware of the great value that accrues to them because of your courtesy in extending them guest privileges and that we will scrupulously respect your non-attribution policy.

Sincerely,

Allen W. Dulles  
Director

cc: DDCI

Signature Recommended:

[redacted]  
J. L. D. 1959

Deputy Director /  
(Plans)

STAT

DDP/PF [redacted] (1 December 1959)

STAT

Distribution:

Orig. & 1 - Addressee  
2 - DCI  
2 - DDP  
1 - Chrono

To

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Approved For Release 2002/08/28 : CIA-RDP80B01676R001100140002-5

Fr 4- 9099

THE NATIONAL WAR COLLEGE

OFFICE OF THE COMMANDANT

WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

10 November 1959

Dear General Cabell:

I would like to call the following incident to your attention which I feel is a violation of the "non-attribution" policy of The National War College.

As you know the policy which is quoted in our memorandum to the various government agencies listing the lecturers for a particular course states,

"NWC guest lecturers are assured that their remarks will be held in the strictest confidence and will not be attributed to them, either directly or through insinuation, outside the college lecture hall. Each agency is enjoined to assure itself that its representatives respect the "Off the Record" basis of the lecture program."

On 4 November 1959 [ ] and [ ]

25X1

[ ] both from the Central Intelligence Agency, visited The National War College and asked what Professor William Y. Elliott, Department of Government, Harvard University, had said about Drs. Rostow and Milliken during his lecture on 8 October 1959.

[ ] informed my Security Officer that he was investigating Drs. Rostow and Milliken and that he had been advised that Professor Elliott had mentioned their names in his speech. Specifically they wanted to know in what manner Professor Elliott had referred to them.

25X1

The Security Officer permitted [ ] and [ ] to review the transcript of the lecture and it was discovered that neither Drs. Rostow or Milliken were referred to during the regular talk. It is possible that their names could have been referred to during the question and answer period which is not recorded.

25X1

For your information the names of the authorized visitors from Central Intelligence Agency who were authorized to attend this particular lecture titled, "National Character and Leadership as

25X1

Elements of National Power," were Messrs. [redacted]  
[redacted] and [redacted]

25X1  
25X1

I deeply appreciate your continued interest in The National War College.

Sincerely yours,

*Harrold* *Clayton*  
THOMAS L. HARROLD  
Lieutenant General, U. S. Army  
Commandant

General C. P. Cabell, USAF  
Deputy Director  
Central Intelligence Agency  
Washington 25, D. C.

CONFIDENTIAL

Approved For Release 2002/08/28 : CIA REP 80B01676R001100140002-5

UNCLASSIFIED	CONFIDENTIAL	SECRET
--------------	--------------	--------

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY  
OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP

TO	NAME AND ADDRESS	INITIALS	DATE
1	DD/P - Mr. Bissell		12/2/59
2			
3	DCI - Att: [redacted]		
4	Dick Bissell + [redacted]		
5	[redacted] Cancer with		
6	my rewrite.		
ACTION	DIRECT REPLY	PREPARE REPLY	
APPROVAL	DISPATCH	RECOMMENDATION	
COMMENT	FILE	RETURN	
CONCURRENCE	INFORMATION	SIGNATURE	

Remarks:

Dick:

For comment and preparation of a reply for  
the Director's signature. It is my understanding  
that [redacted] is assigned to FI/[redacted]

[redacted] and [redacted] is assigned to  
[redacted]

Upon receipt of this letter, a query was  
made to Colonel Edwards as to whether Messrs.  
[redacted] and [redacted] were employees of the  
Office of Security since it would appear that such  
were the case in view of the statement, "... Mr.  
[redacted] informed my Security Officer that he was

FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER (OVER)

FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.

DATE

EO/DCI

17 Nov 59

Approved For Release 2002/08/28 : CIA REP 80B01676R001100140002-5

UNCLASSIFIED	CONFIDENTIAL	SECRET
--------------	--------------	--------

(40)

Approved For Release 2002/08/28 : CIA-RDP80B01676R001100140002-5  
Investigating Drs. [redacted]  
be noted that Colonel Edwards has expressed some  
concern that these two employees may have given the  
impression that they were representatives of the Office  
of Security.

25X1

25X1

It would appear to me that any questions [redacted]  
and [redacted] had about the contents of Bill Elliott's  
lecture could have been answered by Messrs. [redacted]  
[redacted] and [redacted] assuming of course that any one  
of the three was present as authorized.

25X1

25X1



25X1